

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:	Atty. Docket No.:	003797.01275	
Mohammed SAMJI <i>et al.</i>			
Serial No.:	10/691,841	Group Art Unit:	2162
Filed:	October 23, 2003	Examiner:	Ly, Anh
For:	System and Method for Virtual Folder Sharing Including Utilization of Static and Dynamic Lists	Confirmation No.:	6685

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, **Mail Stop - AF**
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Applicants respectfully request review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a Notice of Appeal. The review is requested for the reasons stated in the below remarks. If any fees are required or if an overpayment is made, the Commissioner is authorized to debit or credit our Deposit Account No. 19-0733, accordingly.

Remarks

Having received and reviewed the final Office Action dated February 17, 2006, and the Advisory Action dated April 27, 2006, Applicants respectfully submit that the standing rejections are based on one or more clear errors, and that the appeal process can be avoided through a pre-appeal brief review as set forth in the Official Gazette notice of July 12, 2005.

The pending rejections fail to address all the claim limitations, and exhibit clear factual and legal errors with respect to the cited references. The specific errors relied upon in this Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review include the following:

- The Office made a clear legal and/or factual error in its analysis of the Matsubara and Cohen references. As argued in the Request for Reconsideration dated April 17, 2006 (pp. 2-3), neither Matsubara nor Cohen teaches or suggests sharing items based on the results of a query as claimed.
- The Office made a clear legal and/or factual error in its analysis of the Benowitz reference. As argued in the Request for Reconsideration dated April 17, 2006 (pp. 3-4), Benowitz does not teach dynamic lists as claimed.

While Applicants believe the above points represent the clearest errors made by the Office, Applicants reserve the right to appeal on other bases and errors. In addition, Applicants believe the rejections of other claims not identified above are also based on one or more Office errors. Applicants will address such issues on appeal should the appeal of this case proceed after the Office's consideration of this paper.

CONCLUSION

All issues having been addressed, Applicants respectfully submit that the instant application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully solicit prompt notification of the same. However, if for any reason the review panel believes the application is not in condition for allowance or there are any questions, the review panel is invited to contact the undersigned at (202) 824-3153.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated this 17th day of May, 2006

By: /Ross Dannenberg/
Ross Dannenberg, Registration No. 49,024
1001 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001-4597
Tel: (202) 824-3000
Fax: (202) 824-3001